

A G E N D A

PLANNING COMMITTEE

REGULAR MEETING
TUESDAY, MAY 8, 2018, AT 1:00 P.M.
MPR #3 -- GATEWAY COMPLEX

1. MEETING CALLED TO ORDER: Leslie Birdsall, Chairman
2. ROLL CALL: Birdsall, Brown, Fredlund, and Neff
3. APPROVAL OF REPORT: Regular meeting of April 5, 2018 (Attachment)
4. RESIDENTS' FORUM
5. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT
6. UNFINISHED BUSINESS
 - a) Consider options and recommendations for one network improvement and improvements to various crosswalks that were identified in the TJKM Vehicular and Pedestrian Safety Study. (Attachments)
 - b) Approve criteria and weighting for determining the priority of future major capital projects. (Attachments)
7. NEW BUSINESS
8. ADJOURNMENT
9. NEXT MEETING: Thursday, June 14, 2018, at 10:00 a.m. in Boardroom at Gateway Complex

TO

cc: GRF Board

PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT

REGULAR MEETING
THURSDAY, APRIL 5, 2018, AT 10:03 A.M.

A regular meeting of the Planning Committee was convened by the Chairman, Leslie Birdsall, at 10:03 a.m. on Thursday, April 5, 2018, in the Board Room at Gateway Complex.

Present, in addition to the Chairman, were Carl W. Brown, Melvin C. Fredlund and Mary K. Neff. Also attending were Geraldine Pyle, President, and Sue DiMaggio-Adams, Director, GRF; Timothy O'Keefe, CEO; Dennis Bell, Public Safety Manager; and three residents. Attendance

The Planning Committee's report of its meeting held on March 8, 2018, was approved as written. Report Approved

During the Residents' Forum, Mary England distributed a handout she had prepared for the Ad Hoc Technology Committee and thought it would be a helpful guide to assist the Committee with prioritization criteria for projects. Residents' Forum

The Chairman distributed a handout entitled "Consultant Proposals" with the Committee. He noted that the document is to assist the Committee, as it indicates the status of each project. Project Status

Mr. Bell provided an update regarding project RFP's to the Committee. Specifically, paving bids and LED flashing stop sign installation bids. He then passed out copies of proposed pedestrian barricade options. Discussion followed.

The Committee reviewed agenda item S-16, Crosswalk on Golden Rain near the Hillside Clubhouse (Golden Rain and Pine Knoll). Discussion followed. Agenda Item S-16

The Committee next reviewed agenda item N-2, Install golf cart lanes on Stanley Dollar. Discussion followed. Agenda Item N-2, Install Golf Cart Lanes on Stanley Dollar

Motion, approved UNANIMOUSLY, to install a golf cart path on Stanley Dollar from the crosswalk at the driving range to Rossmoor Parkway and to eliminate the first parking place on Stanley Dollar that is at the crosswalk.

The Committee reviewed page 7a-6, Attachment #2 of the agenda. During discussion, Mr. Bell offered to provide a paving schedule for Rossmoor at the next Committee meeting. Further discussion ensued. Review of Agenda Page 7a-6

A motion was made by Mr. Fredlund, seconded by Mrs. Neff, and CARRIED, with Mr. Brown abstaining, to use Attachment #2 of the agenda packet as a crosswalk project guide for staff to use. Mr. Bell will bring back to the Committee, a paving schedule for 2018. Action Taken

The committee developed a list of criteria to present to the GRF Board for their input and approval.

Criteria
Develop-
ment/

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 11:28 a.m.

Adjourn-
ment

The next regular meeting of the Planning Committee will be held on Thursday, May 10, 2018, at 10:00 a.m. in the Board Room at Gateway Complex.

Next Mtg.
5/10/18

Leslie Birdsall, Chairman
Planning Committee

dr

DRAFT

Meeting Date: May 8, 2018

**SUMMARY REPORT
GOLDEN RAIN FOUNDATION PLANNING COMMITTEE**

REPORT PREPARED BY:

Tim O'Keefe, CEO
Dennis Bell, Public Safety Manager

REQUESTED ACTION/RECOMMENDATION:

Consider options and recommendations for one network improvement and improvements to various crosswalks that were identified in the TJKM Vehicular and Pedestrian Safety Study.

BACKGROUND:

Stanley Dollar Golf Cart Lane

At its April 5, 2018, meeting, the Planning Committee discussed the N-2 network improvement as identified in the TJKM Vehicular and Pedestrian Safety Study. The Committee directed staff to research adding a golf cart lane on the south side of Stanley Dollar from the Event Center east to Rossmoor Parkway. Additionally, the Committee recommended removing one parking space on Stanley Dollar near the Event Center to improve sight distance for golf cart crossings.

There is an existing golf cart lane on Stanley Dollar (see attachment #1) that starts at the west Event Center driveway and ends at the east driveway. This golf cart lane measures four to six feet wide.

Staff researched the possibility of adding a golf cart lane and determined that the eastbound lane on Stanley Dollar ranges in width from approximately 18 feet to 22 feet. TJKM recommends golf cart lane width should be between six and eight feet. Adding a six-foot golf cart lane would reduce the vehicle lane to 12 feet at the narrowest point.

Staff estimated the cost for this golf cart lane to cost \$5,000 and \$10,000. This is only an estimate and staff did not request formal bids.

Crosswalks

The Planning Committee agreed that all crosswalks should eventually be changed to high-visibility style. Staff reviewed the 2018 paving schedule and only two crosswalks will be converted to the high-visibility style during the year. These crosswalks are at Ptarmigan and Terra Granada, and Avenida Sevilla and Terra Granada.

Staff estimates that the cost, outside of the yearly paving, to convert each crosswalk to high-visibility will be between \$2,000 and \$3,000. The cost will vary depending on the size of the crosswalk.

Staff conducted an inspection of all the Golden Rain Foundation crosswalks and developed a spread sheet (see attachment #2). This spread references the TJKM spot/network improvement with the TJKM crosswalk number and if the crosswalk is or is not high-visibility. Additionally, the spread sheet lists several crosswalks that were not included in the study and staff added the pedestrian injury accidents that occurred at various crosswalks.

ALTERNATIVES AND OPTIONS:

The Committee could select any of the options or staff recommendations, and/or direct staff to further study/implement other alternatives for these locations.

SUBSEQUENT ACTIONS:

Staff will continue to research options as directed by the Committee and/or Staff will prepare a Summary Report for a Board meeting for any recommendations made by the Committee.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Unknown

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment #1: Stanley Dollar Map
Attachment #2: Crosswalk Inventory Spread Sheet

ATTACHMENT #1



ATTACHMENT #2

Crosswalk #	TJKM #	Location	High-Vis	Action Taken	Ped Acc. Since 2012
1	S-3	Golden Rain and Gateway	Yes	To be Removed	
2	S-7 (N-1)	Golden Rain and Oakmont Way	Yes		
3	S-7	Oakmont Way and Golden Rain	No		
4	N/A	Pine Knoll and Upper Golden Rain	Yes		
5	N/A	Oakmont Drive Entry 11	No		
6	N/A	Oakmont Way and Oakmont Drive	No		
7	N/A	Pine Knoll at Hillside Pool	No		
8	N/A	Oakmont Way and Pine Knoll	No		
9	N/A	Ptarmigan Entry 11	No		
10	N/A	Ptarmigan Entry 12	No		
11	N/A	Ptarmigan Entry 16	No		
12	N/A	Ptarmigan Entry 18	No		
13	N/A	Ptarmigan and Terra Granada	No	High-Vis in 2018 Paving	
14	S-30 (N-4)	Rossmoor Parkway Entry 3	Yes		
15	S-31 (N-4)	Rossmoor Parkway Entry 5	Yes		
16	S-28 (N-4)	Rossmoor Parkway Entry 1	Yes		
17	S-27 (N-4)	Rossmoor Parkway near Entry 1	Yes		
18	S-29 (N-4)	Rossmoor Parkway Entry 2	Yes		
19	S-26 (N-4)	Rossmoor Parkway/Terra Cal (East)	No		
20	S-18 (N-2)	Stanley Dollar West Crosswalk	No		
21	S-19 (N-2)	Stanley Dollar East	No		

22	N/A	Terra Granada at Entry 19	No		
23	N/A	Terra Granada at Autumnwood	Yes		
24	S-23 (N-4)	Tice Creek Entry 11	Yes		
25	S-22 (N-3)	Tice Creek Entry 10	Yes		
26	S-24 (N-3)	Tice Creek and Avenida Sevilla	Yes		
27	N/A	Avenida Sevilla and Tice Creek	Yes		
28	N/A	Tice Creek and Fairlawn	Yes		
29	N/A	Fairlawn and Tice Creek	No		
30	N/A	Tice Creek and Leisure Lane	Yes		
32 and 33	S-5	Tice Creek and Oakmont (North)	Yes	Ped Signs and High Vis	1
# used twice 32	N/A	Leisure Lane and Tice Creek	No		
34	N/A	Tice Creek and Oakmont (South)	N/A	Has Been Removed	
35	S-21 (N-3)	Tice Creek and Ptramigan	Yes		
36	N/A	Ptramigan and Tice Creek	Yes		
37	N/A	Tice Creek and Rockledge	Yes		
38	N/A	Rockledge and Tice Creek	No		
39	N/A	Running Springs and Tice Creek	No		
40	N/A	Tice Creek and Running Springs	Yes		
41	S-20 (N-3)	Tice Creek and Singingwood	No		
42	N/A	Singingwood and Tice Creek	No		
43	S-9	Golden Rain Entry 8	No		
44	S-10	Golden Rain Entry 13	No		
45	S-11	Golden Rain Entry 16	No		
46	S-15	Golden Rain Hillside Club	Yes		
47	S-12	Golden Rain Entry 20 (Overlook)	Yes		
48 and 49	S-8	per Golden Rain and Lower Golden Rain	No		

50 and 51	S-16	Lower Golden Rain and Pine Knoll	No	Ped Signs and High-Vis	
52	S-13		N/A		
53 and 54	S-2	Golden Rain and Rossmoor Parkway	No	Xwalk Move and High-V	2
55 and 56	N/A	Rossmoor Parkway and Terra Cal (West)	No		
57 and 58	N/A	Rossmoor Parkway and Saklan Indian	No		
59	S-25	Rossmoor Parkway and Tice Creek	No		
60	N/A	Tice Creek and Canyonwood	No		
61	N/A	Canyonwood and Tice Creek	No		
62	N/A	Number Not Used	N/A		
31,63,64,65	S-17 (N-2)	Tice Creek and Stanley Dollar	Yes		2
66,67,68,69	S-4	Golden Rain and Tice Creek	Yes	Flashing Stop Signs	1
70	N/A	Avenida Sevilla Terra Granada	No	High-Vis in 2018 Paving	
Crosswalks Not on Map					
N/A	N/A	Leisure Lane and Stanley Dollar	No		
N/A	N/A	Rossmoor Parkway and Stanely Dollar	Yes		
N/A	N/A	Tice Creek and Comstock	No		
N/A	N/A	Comstock and Tice Creek	No		

Meeting Date: May 8, 2018

***SUMMARY REPORT
GOLDEN RAIN FOUNDATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS***

REPORT PREPARED BY:

Les Birdsall, Chair, Planning Committee
Tim O'Keefe, CEO

REQUESTED ACTION/RECOMMENDATION:

Approve criteria and weighting for determining the priority of future major capital projects.

BACKGROUND:

The Committee has previously reviewed and discussed the criteria for determining the priority of future major projects. Attached in two separate documents are: 1) a list of the previously suggested criteria, and 2) a suggested template of a scoring tool that the Committee could use, which was developed from Committee input at previous meetings.

After testing the project criteria template, staff recommends adding criteria, "Asset replacement" and "# of residents receiving benefit." Staff also recommends removing criteria, "Furnishings, fixtures, plant/structure, equipment." Asset replacement was a missing criteria describing one of the reasons facilities are renovated and/or improved. The # of residents is an important missing factor that will ultimately play into the Committee's decisions. The Furnishings criteria does not lend itself to a score.

Referring to the template, the Committee will want to confirm that the criteria are correct and will want to consider assigning a weighting to one or more criteria if a criteria has more or less 'gravitas' than other criteria.

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment #1: Priority Setting Criteria
Attachment #2: Project criteria template

CRITERIA	Project:
Financial Impact	N/A
Operational Efficiencies	N/A
Dependencies	N/A
Subsequent Actions	Once the criteria and template are finalized, the Committee will want to create the universe of projects from which to consider for future prioritization.
Alternatives/Options	The Committee can edit, remove or add any or all items.
Time-Frame	N/A
Advantages/Benefits	Creating a standardized and uniform criteria, weighting and template should help the Committee efficiently prioritize the future capital projects.
Disadvantages/Risks	N/A

PRIORITY SETTING CRITERIA

Weighting	Criteria
	Amenity Enhancement
	Asset Replacement
	Asset Preservation
	Regulatory Compliance
	Safety
	Financial Impact
	Return on Investment
	Number of Residents Receiving Benefit
	Availability of Resources
	Benefits/Advantages; Risks/Disadvantages
	Cost of Timing
	Dependencies
	Need vs.Desires

ATTACHMENT #2

PRIORITIZATION OF GRF INITIATIVES

(estimated costs next 18 months) :

	WEIGHTING		pedestrian traffic safety projects		alternative energy conservation projects		Gateway studios renovation		Creek repair		water reclamation		EV charging		entry monument		database synchronization	
			SCORE OUT OF 10	WEIGHTED SCORE	SCORE OUT OF 10	WEIGHTED SCORE	SCORE OUT OF 10	WEIGHTED SCORE	SCORE OUT OF 10	WEIGHTED SCORE	SCORE OUT OF 10	WEIGHTED SCORE	SCORE OUT OF 10	WEIGHTED SCORE	SCORE OUT OF 10	WEIGHTED SCORE	SCORE OUT OF 10	WEIGHTED SCORE
			\$75,000		\$250,000		\$200,000		\$800,000		\$185,000		\$60,000		\$50,000		\$100,000	
		PRIORITY SETTING CRITERIA																
why	7	Amenity enhancement		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	8	Asset replacement		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
what	10	Asset preservation		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	10	Regulatory compliance		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
evaluate	10	Safety		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	8	Financial Impact		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	5	Return on investment		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	5	Furnishings, fixtures, plant/structure, equipment		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	8	# of residents receiving benefit		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	8	Availability of resources		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	7	Benefits/advantages: Risks/disadvantages		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	8	Cost of Delay		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
5	Dependencies		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
5	Needs vs desires		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
		Prioritization score	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Resource Requirements (mark with an "X") :																
		Ongoing Staffing																
		Capital Investment																
		External resources																